UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,487	03/12/2004	Jean Pierre Giraud	62357.012702	8369
32361 GREENBERG	7590 06/12/2007 TRAURIG, LLP		EXAM	IINER
MET LIFE BU	JILDING		BUTLER, MICHAEL E	
200 PARK AV NEW YORK, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	·	10/799,487	GIRAUD, JEAN PIERRE
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael Butler	3653
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variet to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,8,9,15,16,20 and Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,10-14,17-19 and 22-24 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	21 is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion.No ed in this National Stage
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate

Application/Control Number: 10/799,487

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim of priority to application 10/177786 filed 6/30/2002 is acknowledged.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 19,1,2132b", 36", 32", 32', 325. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 7, 23', 22b ,21 ,32b' ,36" ,329", 429, Z, 75, 100, 218. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in

Application/Control Number: 10/799,487 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Election/Restriction

- 4. Applicant's election of species III without traverse of the species requirement is acknowledged and made final.
- 5. Claims 3-4, 8-9, 15-16, 20-21 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim(s) 11-12 and 23-24 is/are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 112 second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is insufficient antecedent basis in the claims for the following term(s): (Re: cl 11/12/23/24) the tamper evident seal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/799,487 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 9. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 12-14, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)as being anticipated by Giraud et al. '685 (7073685) which discloses all the claimed elements including:
- (Re: cl 1,13) A dispenser for dispensing solid objects comprising a reservoir for retaining solid objects that is in communication with dispensing zone having a reusable opening (c3 L 52-67) and an ejector positioned opposite a space for retaining a solid object (c5 L 50-59)

(Re: cl 2,14) wherein the dispensing zone is positioned under the reservoir (fig 2/3; c3 L 52-67)

(Re: cl 5,17) wherein the ejector is comprised of a relatively soft material that deforms in the direction of the resealable opening in response to an ejecting force (c4 L 17-41)

(Re: cl 6,18) wherein the ejector is comprised of an elastomer (c4 L 17-41).

10. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 12-14, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giraud et al. '478 (WO 00/40478) as evidenced by citations to cites in its chronologically junior English equivalent, Giraud et al. '685.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3653

12. Claim(s) 1-3, 6-7, and 9-10 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giraud et al. '478 (WO 00/40478) in view of Ma et al. wherein the former discloses the elements previously discussed and the latter discloses any the elements not inherently taught by the former including:

(Re: cl 10,22) wherein the opening is provided with a tamper evident seal that is constructed of frangible material (c5 L 50-59) c3 L 36-51) (Re: cl 11,23) wherein the tamper evident seal is comprised of a disc frangibly joined to the opening and a tab joined to the disc () (Re: cl 12,24) wherein the tamper evident seal is a comprised of a disc frangibly joined to the opening and a cross joined to the disc ()

It would have been obvious for Giraud et al. '478 to use a rigid disc shaped frangible seal because to detect malicious tampering of the dispenser openings as taught by Ma et al. and come up with the instant invention.

13. Claim(s) 1-3, 6-7, and 9-10 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giraud et al. '478 (WO 00/40478) in view of Gibilisco et al. 4653668 wherein the former discloses the elements previously discussed and the latter discloses any the elements not inherently taught by the former including:

(Re: cl 7,19) wherein the ejector is comprised of LDPE (c5 L 43-49)

It would have been obvious for Giraud et al. '478 to use a polyethylene because polyethylene has a high durability, strength, and elastomericity in a biasable material as taught by Gibilisco et al. and come up with the instant invention.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3653

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is (571) 272-6937.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey, can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael E. Butler

Michael & Book

Examiner